

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 02/22/2000 09/510,038 Mark M. Meyers 1-8 1549 EXAMINER 7590 01/29/2004 Lester H. Bimbaum NGUYEN, DUNG T 2159 Greenmeadow Drive ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Macungie, PA 18062

2828
DATE MAILED: 01/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>№</b> 9		<i>6</i> 1
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/510,038	MEYERS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dung (Michael) T Nguyen	2828
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sh t with the corr spond nce address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 200	October 2003.	
	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application	n.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.	_
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		Park
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.		Paul &
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		PAUL IP
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
Application Papers		TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.  37 CFR 1.78.		
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.		
Attachment(s)		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> </ol>	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/510,038

Art Unit: 2828

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With respect to claims 1 and 10-13, the claims claim a channel formed in the substrate to reflect a portion of the **downward** (weak) light away from the photodetector so that the photodetector receives primarily direct light from the face. How about the portion of the **upward** light? There is nothing to reflect the portion of the **upward** (weak) light. That means the photodetector also receives the primary direct light and the **upward** light.

The remaining claims are dependent on the above rejected claims and therefore are also rejected.

Application/Control Number: 09/510,038

Art Unit: 2828

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Joyce (US6278721) in view of Miyashita et al. (US5477325).

With respect to claims 1-3 and 9-13, Joyce shows in Fig. 2 an optical assembly comprising a substrate 130, a light emitting device 110, and a photodetector 135 optically coupled to the light emitting device 110. Joyce lacks at least a channel formed in the substrate. Miyashita teach in Fig. 4c the channels formed in the substrate 1. For the benefit of reflecting laser lights away, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Joyce the channels as taught by Miyashita.

With respect to claims 4-8 and 14-16, Miyashita disclose the channels comprising of V-grooves formed in the substrate.

**Communication Information** 

Application/Control Number: 09/510,038

Art Unit: 2828

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung (Michael) T Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1949. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 17:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Ip can be reached on (571) 272-1941. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-3329.

Nguyen (Michael) Dung

Paul ip

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800